UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/561,700	11/10/2006	Isa Odidi	221904-1050	8166	
	H504 7590 09/03/2009 CHOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP			EXAMINER	
600 GALLERIA PARKWAY, S.E.			PURDY, KYLE A		
STE 1500 ATLANTA, GA 30339-5994			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/03/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/561,700	ODIDI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kyle Purdy	1611
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 c This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 29,30 and 46-48 is/s 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-28 and 31-45 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	are withdrawn from consideration. or election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/561,700 Page 2

Art Unit: 1611

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of t/e previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/15/2009 has been entered.

Status of Application

- 2. The Examiner acknowledges receipt of the amendments filed on 06/15/2009 wherein claims 1 and 31 have been amended.
- 3. Claims 1-28 and 31-45 are presented for examination on the merits. The following rejections are made.

Response to Applicants' Arguments

4. Applicants arguments filed 06/15/2009 regarding the rejection of claims 1-28 and 31-45 made by the Examiner under 35 USC 103(a) over Phillips (US 2002/0045646) in view of Bergstrand et al. (US 5817338) have been fully considered but they are not found persuasive. However, this rejection is being withdrawn in view of the addition of a new reference.

New Grounds of Obejctions/Rejections

Specification

5. It is noted that this application appears to claim subject matter disclosed in prior Application No. PCT/CA04/00825, filed 6/3/2004 and provisional Application nos. 60/482,439, filed 6/26/2003 and 60/548,903, filed 3/2/2004. A reference to the prior application must be

inserted as the first sentence(s) of the specification of this application or in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.76), if applicant intends to rely on the filing date of the prior application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121, or 365(c). See 37 CFR 1.78(a). For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of all nonprovisional applications. If the application is a utility or plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000, the specific reference to the prior application must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the application is a utility or plant application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). This time period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or 120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). A benefit claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable petition to accept an unintentionally delayed benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due

under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

6. If the reference to the prior application was previously submitted within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.78(a), but not in the first sentence(s) of the specification or an application data sheet (ADS) as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a) (e.g., if the reference was submitted in an oath or declaration or the application transmittal letter), and the information concerning the benefit claim was recognized by the Office as shown by its inclusion on the first filing receipt, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a) and the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t) are not required. Applicant is still required to submit the reference in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) by filing an amendment to the first sentence(s) of the specification or an ADS. See MPEP § 201.11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/561,700 Page 5

Art Unit: 1611

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. Claims 1-28 and 31-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Phillips (US 2002/0045646; of record) in view of Bergstrand et al. (US 5817338, 10/06/1998; of record) and Chen (US 2001/0006649; published 07/05/2001).
- 10. Phillips is drawn to a composition for treating gastric disorders employing proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) in a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier. It is taught that the PPI can be any substituted benimidazole compound possessing H⁺, K⁺-ATPase inhibiting activity and being unstable to acid (i.e. acid labile). The composition of Phillips can be a powder, tablet, capsule and a two part tablet (see [0036]; see instant claims 1 and 31). It is taught that upon oral administration of the PPI the drug may be absorbed into the bloodstream where the compound is eventually delivered to the acid secreting portion of the parietal cells of the stomach. The PPIs included within the teaching of Phillips include omeprazole, lansoprazole and rabeproazole. It is noted by Phillips that such PPIs are readily degraded under acidic conditions such as that of the stomach and a useful way to circumvent degradation is to include at least one buffering agent (i.e. basic substance). Basic substance include sodium bicarbonate, magnesium hydroxide and aluminum hydroxide (see [0054]; see instant claims 21 and 40). Moreover, the basicity of base used must be strong enough to elevate the pH of the stomach sufficiently to prevent significant degradation of the drug and to achieve ample bioavailability of the drug to induce a therapeutic effect.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,700

Art Unit: 1611

Page 6

- 11. Example VI teaches a multi-functional tablet comprising two discrete drug delivery systems i) free omeprazole and free sodium bicarbonate (rapid release) and ii) enterically coated omegrazole granules (slow release) (see [0176]; see instant claims 1-6, 10, 13, 18-21, 31). The tablet is taught to contain known binders and excipients (see [0176]; see instant claims 7 and 8). Such excipients include disintegrant such as cross-linked sodium carboxymethylcellulose (sodium croscarmellose) and fillers such as calcium lactate (see Example 1, B1 at page 10; see instant claims 8 and 28). The tablets of Example VI were formulated to deliver a bolus and a time-released dose of the PPI omeprazole (i.e. pulsed release, see instant claim 6). Upon ingestion of the tablet, the tablet dissolves, freeing the non-enteric coated base and omperazole into the stomach (see [0176], see instant claim 22). The basic substance increases the pH of the stomach, preventing the omeprazole from acidic degradation, and allows omeprazole to be absorbed by the parental cells of the stomach. Meanwhile, the enterically coated omeprazole is absorbed in the duodenum (see [0176]; see instant claim 23, 44 and 45). It's noteworthy that four-hours post administration, the pH of the stomach is raised to an average of 7.1 (see Figure 3, see instant claim 24).
- 12. Although the teaching of Phillips motivates one to include an enterically coated omeprazole granule with free base and omeprazole, it still fails to teach the components of the enterically coated granule. Phillips teaches the base and omeprazole as being in the form of a powder, rather than a granule.
- 13. The teaching of Bergstrand is drawn to a pharmaceutical tablet dosage form containing omeprazole. The table of Bergstrand comprises a core substance which contains an acid susceptible substance such as omeprazole, followed by a first coating (separating layer), and then

a second coating (an enteric coating) (see column 5, line 60 - column 6, line 35; see instant claims 13-17, 32+33). The separating layer includes alkaline gents to enhance the pH-buffering properties. This necessarily improves the stability of the acid labile omeprazole contained within the core as it prevents degradation of the drug during long periods of storage. Alkaline agents include compounds typically used in antacid formulations such as calcium hydroxide, sodium phosphate, and so on (see column 6, lines 20-30). The omeprazole granule of Bergstrand may be mixed with basic substances such as those discussed above (calcium hydroxide, etc.; see column 6, lines 20-30). The materials used for the enteric coating includes polyethylene glycol and polyvinyl acetate (see column 6, lines 45-55; see instant claim 27). As an example an omeprazole granule is found at Example 10.

- 14. Chen is directed to stable oral pharmaceutical formulations comprising omeprazole. It is taught that omeprazole and an alkaline inorganic substance may be a powder or a granule (see [0040]).
- 15. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Phillips, Bergstrand and Chen because in doing so would result in a composition which possess a population comprising:
 - i) a population of base (i.e. sodium bicarbonate);
 - ii) a population of pharmaceutical substance (i.e. a PPI);
 - iii) a population of enteric coated pharmaceutical substance; and
 - iv) a population of enteric coated basic substance.

The significance of Phillips is that it teaches a multi-functional tablet compositions comprising

i) a population of base (i.e. sodium bicarbonate);

- ii) a population of pharmaceutical substance (i.e. a PPI);
- iii) a population of enteric coated pharmaceutical substance; and

The multifunctional tablet is manufactured to possess rapid and delayed-release functionalities (pulse release) wherein the pharmaceutical substance is present in both functionalities and the basic substance is present in the rapid release portion. It's noted that the example relied upon in Phillips is directed to a tablet, rather than a capsule. However, it would have been obvious to any person of ordinary skill to take the formulation of Example VI, and fill it into a capsule rather than compressing into a tablet. Such a composition is suggested by the reference, and one would have had a reasonable expectation in arriving at a pulsed-release composition with the instantly claimed properties. Additionally, it is noted that Phillips teaches using powders in Example VI. However, the prior art illustrates that powders and granules are obvious variants and thus, one is obvious is over other the other and the substitution of one for the other would result in a product capable of pulsed release of the omeprazole. Although the teaching of Phillips includes an enterically coated PPI population, it fails to teach an enterically coated granule with a separating layer. One of ordinary skill would be motivated to look to the art to see how to make an enterically coated PPI granule capable of effectively delivering the active substance to the body.

16. Bergstrand teaches PPI containing granules coated with a first separating layer followed by a second enteric polymer coating, wherein the enteric granule contains antacids such as sodium bicarbonate. Thus, one of ordinary skill would be motivated to include the granule of Bergstrand with the teaching of Phillips to arrive at an invention with the instantly claimed properties (see i-iv above). With respect to the recited properties such as rapid release of the rapidly released basic substance increasing the pH of the stomach to more than 4 and less than

about 7 in less than 1 hour carries no patentable weight. Such a property would be obvious to optimize, as noted above, the stability of the PPIs as well as their pharmaceutical efficacy is dependent upon the pH of their local environment. If the pH of the stomach isn't rapidly alkalinized, the drug will not be effective. Moreover, as both references are within the same general field of endeavor (delivery of antacids and PPIs), it follows that combination would be obvious and would result in a therapeutic composition having the properties of the instantly claimed invention. Therefore, the invention as a whole is *prima facie* obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Conclusion

- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kyle A. Purdy whose telephone number is 571-270-3504. The examiner can normally be reached from 9AM to 5PM.
- 18. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sharmila Landau, can be reached on 571-272-0614. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 19. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/561,700 Page 10

Art Unit: 1611

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Kyle Purdy/ Examiner, Art Unit 1611 August 27, 2009

/David J Blanchard/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643